

# Table of Contents

<b>Foreword</b> .....	7
<b>CHAPTER I</b>	
<b>The Ambivalences of the International Legal Order</b> .....	15
<b>I. International law – A great story?</b> .....	17
A. Doctrinal classic international law (fifteenth–sixteenth centuries): world law, Christianity, and the papacy .....	19
B. The emergence of a modern international law of nation-states (from the sixteenth to the eighteenth centuries): rational natural law and sovereignty .....	22
C. The consolidation of modern international law (from the nineteenth century to 1945): the advent of positivism and its limits .....	25
D. The “Cold War” period (1945–1990): the consecration of liberal international law? .....	28
E. The proclamation of a “new world order” (1990 to the present day): towards a deformalized and fragmented international law? .....	30
<b>II. Is international law “law”?</b> .....	33
A. What are the main differences between international law and internal systems of law? .....	34
1. The absence of any “separation of powers” in the international order .....	34
2. The absence of any absolute principle of hierarchy between international law and internal systems of law .....	36
B. Is international law a “legal order”? .....	39
1. The “no sanction” argument .....	39
2. The “no legal system” argument .....	40
3. A metaphysical debate? .....	41
C. Is international law a specific “discourse” standing apart from morality and politics? .....	42

<b>III. Who can interpret international law and how?</b> .....	45
A. The flexibility of interpretation .....	47
B. The variety of techniques of interpretation .....	49

## PART ONE

**The Subjects of the International Legal Order**

## CHAPTER II

<b>The Creation of States</b> .....	55
<b>I. Is statehood a question of fact? The theory of constitutive elements and its ambiguities</b> .....	57
A. Territory: a material underpinning .....	58
B. Population: a human underpinning .....	61
C. Government: a political authority .....	62
D. Sovereignty: effective and stable independence? .....	63
1. A subjective condition: a proclamation of independence .....	63
2. An “objective” condition: effective and stable independence? .....	65
E. Can the state be defined by law? .....	68
<b>II. The creation of a state: a question of law?</b> .....	69
A. The right of peoples to self-determination as a right to create a new state .....	70
1. The advent of the right of peoples to self-determination ..	71
2. The legal criteria in the relevant General Assembly resolutions: which peoples have the right to self-determination? .....	74
3. The doctrine of “remedial secession” and its limits .....	78
4. The consequences of a right to self-determination for the existence of the state .....	80
B. The consequences of the principle <i>ex injuria jus non oritur</i> for the existence of the state .....	81
<b>III. Declaratory or constitutive recognition of states?</b> .....	83
A. Is recognition a discretionary power? .....	84

B.	Constitutive or declaratory recognition of the state? .....	87
1.	The limits of the constitutive theory .....	87
2.	The limits of the declaratory theory .....	89
C.	Does recognition entail relative legal effects? .....	90
IV.	<b>State succession: what role does law play?</b> .....	91
A.	Can one state succeed to another within an international organization? .....	93
B.	Succession to the rights and obligations of the predecessor state: “clean slate” or automatic succession? ...	95
CHAPTER III		
	<b>State Borders</b> .....	99
I.	<b>Agreement as the fundamental criterion for delimitation: the relative character of borders</b> .....	101
A.	The importance of the criterion of agreement .....	102
B.	The formal or informal character of the agreement: the flexibility of the criterion .....	105
C.	A method combining titles, effectivités, and even equity ..	110
II.	<b>The principle of <i>uti possidetis juris</i>: a substitute for agreement?</b> .....	113
A.	The permanent character of international frontiers: enshrinement of agreement .....	115
B.	The transformation of administrative boundaries into international frontiers: a technique accepted by states during decolonization .....	117
C.	<i>Uti possidetis</i> : a universal rule or a technique depending on agreement among states? .....	120
III.	<b>The case of maritime and spatial frontiers: sea and space, “common heritage of mankind” or spaces to be shared among states?</b> .....	125
A.	Maritime spaces: open or closed oceans? .....	126
B.	Outer space: an open or closed universe? .....	130

- C. The principles applicable in the case of maritime disputes: an exception to ordinary law? ..... 131
  - 1. The possible enshrinement of agreements on maritime delimitation ..... 132
  - 2. The role of negotiation and equity ..... 135

## CHAPTER IV

<b>The Exercise of Sovereignty</b> .....	139
<b>I. Sovereignty framed by law: a paradox?</b> .....	141
A. Formal independence: international commitment as the exercise of sovereignty .....	141
B. The limitations of formal independence .....	143
C. Diverging conceptions of the international legal order ....	146
<b>II. The national jurisdictions of states confronted with requirements of cooperation</b> .....	148
A. Territorial jurisdiction: a right and a duty .....	148
B. Extraterritorial jurisdiction .....	150
1. The extraterritorial exercise of legislative and judicial powers: a principle of freedom .....	151
2. The extraterritorial exercise of executive power: something prohibited in principle .....	154
<b>III. The principle of non-intervention: a general limit to states' exercise of their sovereignty?</b> .....	156
A. A fundamental principle of positive international law.....	157
B. An intricate interpretation: the uncertainties of the two constituent elements of the principle .....	158
1. The notion of "domestic jurisdiction" .....	159
2. The notion of "coercion" .....	160
C. A principle with little "added legal value"? .....	162
D. A rhetorical success tied to mechanisms of political instrumentalization .....	164
<b>IV. Immunities as specific limits on the exercise of sovereignty: between the interests of states and aspirations to a universal morality</b> .....	166

A. The foundations of states' immunities: sovereign equality and its ambivalences .....	167
B. The scope of immunities: the difficult articulation between justice and sovereign equality .....	170
1. Immunities in civil matters: limited to sovereign acts of states and acts performed in the course of their duties by their agents .....	171
2. Immunity in criminal matters: limited to acts performed by certain state agents during their time in office or during the course of their duties .....	172
C. Challenges to the principle: state immunities <i>versus</i> human rights? .....	173
1. Does the right of access to a court justify setting aside state immunity? .....	174
2. Does the peremptory character of certain rules justify setting aside state immunities? .....	176
3. Does the commission of particularly serious international crimes warrant setting aside state immunity? .....	177

## CHAPTER V

<b>International Organizations</b> .....	183
I. <b>The definition of international organizations and their legal personality: institutions <i>per se</i> or merely the product of agreements among states?</b> .....	185
A. <b>The definition: what is an "international organization"?</b> ...	186
1. The existence of a "constituent instrument" with both a conventional and an institutional dimension .....	186
a) The interpretation of constituent instruments.....	187
b) Types of norms and secondary law .....	187
c) The modification and scope of constituent instruments .....	188
2. The interstate character of international organizations: the persistence of a conventional dimension .....	190
a) Membership .....	190
b) State representation .....	191
c) Withdrawal from international organizations .....	192
3. The will to grant a specific legal personality: towards institutionalization .....	195
B. <b>The consequences of international organizations being legal entities</b> .....	196

1. Limited legal capacity . . . . .	197
2. Relative international responsibility . . . . .	199
<b>II. The powers of international organizations: attributed by states or autonomous? . . . . .</b>	<b>201</b>
A. The principle of speciality or conferral: the impending character of the conventional dimension . . . . .	202
B. Implied powers or competences: the influences of the institutional logic . . . . .	205
<b>III. The United Nations, embodiment of the international community? . . . . .</b>	<b>209</b>
A. The General Assembly, democratic but powerless? . . . . .	210
1. A democratic organ? . . . . .	210
2. An organ without real power? . . . . .	212
B. The Security Council, authoritarian and hyper-powerful? . . . . .	214
1. Authoritarian power of the “big five”? . . . . .	214
2. Exorbitant powers? . . . . .	217
C. The International Court of Justice, a constitutional court? . . . . .	219
1. The limits on the International Court of Justice’s review of compliance with the Charter . . . . .	220
2. Political and legal palliatives to the limits of the Court’s powers. . . . .	222

## CHAPTER VI

<b>Private Persons . . . . .</b>	<b>227</b>
<b>I. The development of human rights: the scope and limits of universality . . . . .</b>	<b>229</b>
A. The profusion of instruments for the protection of human rights: a tendency to universality . . . . .	230
B. The multiplication of rights . . . . .	231
1. The “first generation” of rights: civil and political rights claimed against the state . . . . .	231
2. “Second generation” rights: economic, social, and cultural rights guaranteed by the state . . . . .	233
3. “Third generation” rights: rights imagined for present and future generations . . . . .	234
4. Human rights in times of armed conflict: continuing applicability . . . . .	235

C.	<b>The relative character of rights</b> .....	237
1.	The relative character of the field of application of human rights instruments .....	237
2.	The relative character of rights: restrictions and derogations.....	239
3.	The relative character of rights resulting from differences in interpretation .....	240
II.	<b>The mechanisms of implementation: beyond the state?</b> .....	244
A.	<b>Traditional protection: the role of the state</b> .....	244
1.	The state with “jurisdiction” over the litigious events ....	244
2.	The role of the national state: “diplomatic protection” and its limits.....	247
3.	The (exceptional) role of other states .....	251
B.	<b>International organizations: institutional protection and individual remedies</b> .....	252
1.	Instigation and supervision.....	252
2.	Mechanisms of individual redress .....	254
III.	<b>The development of obligations for individuals: a law of the “international community”?</b> .....	257
A.	<b>The development of international criminal law: towards a communitarian law?</b> .....	258
1.	The development of offences: from “war crimes” to the multiple offences making up international criminal law ..	259
2.	The emblematic crimes of communitarian law: the crime of genocide and crimes against humanity .....	261
B.	<b>The relative character of international criminal law</b> .....	263
1.	The relative character of the scope of international criminal law .....	263
2.	The relative character of the interpretation of criminalization .....	264
C.	<b>Suppression mechanisms: beyond the state?</b> .....	266
1.	Haphazard suppression by national courts and tribunals ..	266
2.	Selective punishment by <i>ad hoc</i> international criminal tribunals .....	267
3.	The International Criminal Court, systematic punishment? .....	269
a)	The institutional and legal constraints .....	269
b)	Factual and political constraints .....	271

## PART TWO

**The Sources of International Law**

## CHAPTER VII

<b>Custom</b> .....	277
<b>I. The place of custom in the system of sources of international law: the tension between voluntarist and objectivist approaches</b> ...	279
<b>A. The diverging approaches of the customary phenomenon and the system of sources</b> .....	280
1. The foundations and consequences of the difference between voluntarist and objectivist approaches to custom .	280
2. Contrasting case law .....	281
3. Relative character and coherence of the approach chosen .	284
<b>B. The relations between custom and the other sources of international law</b> .....	286
1. The principle of absence of hierarchy among sources . . . . .	286
2. The impact of the recognition of peremptory rules of international law ( <i>jus cogens</i> ) .....	290
<b>II. The constituent elements of custom: how can fact become law?</b> ...	292
<b>A. Practice, an objective element?</b> .....	293
1. The authors of practice: the essential role of states .....	294
2. The varied forms of practice .....	298
3. The relative duration of practice. ....	299
<b>B. <i>Opinio juris sive necessitatis</i>, an (inter-)subjective element?</b> . . . . .	300
1. The different definitions of <i>opinio juris sive necessitatis</i> : “acceptance as law” or “pressure of the dictates of public conscience”? .....	301
2. The ways of establishing <i>opinio juris sive necessitatis</i> : a pragmatic approach? .....	305
<b>III. The evolution of custom: the paradoxes of a source that is both dynamic and stabilizing</b> .....	311

## CHAPTER VIII

<b>Treaties</b> .....	315
<b>I. The definition and validity of treaties: is agreement a construction?</b> .....	318



A.	The characteristics of the agreement: a mere reflection of the parties' intentions or a legal construction? .....	318
1.	A "written" agreement .....	319
2.	An agreement "governed by international law".....	320
B.	The parties to an agreement: states, international organizations... and beyond? .....	323
1.	The traditional case: agreements between states and international organizations or between international organizations .....	323
2.	Agreements concluded by or with non-state entities.....	324
C.	The (exceptional) problems of validity: observance of the parties' intentions <i>versus</i> legal certainty? .....	329
1.	The invocation of provisions of internal law .....	330
2.	The reference to "vitiating consent" .....	331
3.	Contradiction with a <i>jus cogens</i> norm.....	333
II.	<b>The conditions of conclusion, termination, or suspension of treaty obligations: an objective regime? .....</b>	<b>335</b>
A.	The creation of treaty obligations.....	335
1.	The consent to be bound by a treaty: a subjective condition .....	336
2.	Entry into force in the international legal order .....	338
B.	The termination or suspension of treaty obligations .....	342
III.	<b>The principle of the relativity of treaties and its limits .....</b>	<b>344</b>
A.	The principle of relativity of treaties .....	345
B.	Reservations: a confirmation of relativity?.....	346
1.	A regime enshrining the subjectivity and inter-partes character of the will of states .....	347
2.	A necessity to maintain an objective regime .....	350
C.	Relations between treaties.....	353
 CHAPTER IX		
	<b>Other Sources of International Law .....</b>	<b>357</b>
I.	<b>Unilateral declarations: an autonomous source? .....</b>	<b>359</b>
A.	Origins and foundation: can an undertaking be purely unilateral? .....	359

B.	The necessary conditions for obligations to arise: what is a “legal undertaking”?	364
1.	Who can engage the state?	364
2.	With respect to whom is the state engaged?	365
3.	How does the state commit itself in law?	366
II.	The acts of international organizations: secondary law?	369
A.	A law derived from treaty?	370
1.	Can the instrument be based on a power of the organization?	371
2.	Did the competent organ intend to create legal effects?	374
3.	Who are the addressees bound by the decision?	375
B.	Legal effects through other sources of international law?	379
III.	The “general principles of law”: an autonomous source?	381
A.	An autonomous source?	382
B.	Strictly defined constituent elements?	385
IV.	Judicial precedent and legal writings: “ <i>subsidiary means for the determination of rules of law</i> ”?	387
A.	Is judicial precedent a subsidiary means for the determination of rules of law?	389
B.	Are legal writings a subsidiary means for the determination of law?	394

## PART THREE

**The Implementation of International Law**

## CHAPTER X

	<b>International Law and War</b>	401
I.	The scope of the prohibition of the use of force: <i>jus contra bellum</i> or <i>jus ad bellum</i> ?	403
A.	Intervention by invitation	405
B.	Military action conducted under the supervision of the Security Council.	409
C.	A right of “humanitarian intervention”?	413

II. Self-defence as an “inherent right”?	418
A. “Preventive self-defence”, an oxymoron?	420
1. The arguments in favour of “preventive self-defence”	420
2. The reluctance of the international community of states as a whole to accept “preventive self-defence”	421
B. The “war on terror”: what responsibility do “failed states” bear?	423
1. The arguments justifying the “war on terror”	423
2. The misgivings of the international community of states as a whole	424
C. Necessity and proportionality: legal criteria?	427
III. The law of armed conflict ( <i>jus in bello</i> ): can war be humanized?	429
A. Applicability of the law of armed conflict: debates about conflict classification	430
1. The classical categories of armed conflict: international armed conflict and non-international armed conflict	431
2. New categories? “Internationalized” conflicts, “transnational” conflicts, and the “global war on terror”	435
a) “Internationalized” conflicts?	436
b) “Transnational” conflicts?	437
c) A “global war on terror”?	438
B. The basic rules of the law of armed conflict: humanitarian law and military necessities	439
1. The fundamental principles applicable to the conduct of hostilities	439
2. The fundamental principles applicable to the protection of persons “hors de combat”	441
3. The specific regime of occupation	442
C. Does the application of <i>jus in bello</i> exclude the application of other branches of international law?	444
1. The relations between <i>jus in bello</i> and <i>jus contra bellum</i>	445
2. Relations between <i>jus in bello</i> and human rights	446
3. Relations between <i>jus in bello</i> and international criminal law	448

## CHAPTER XI

<b>International Responsibility</b>	451
I. Difficulties in attributing conduct to a state	453

A.	The conduct of official organs and entities authorized to exercise elements of governmental authority is in principle attributable to the state .....	455
1.	Indifference as to the type of organ according to national law .....	456
2.	The scope of attribution: ultra vires acts and private acts ..	458
B.	The conduct of private persons or entities is only exceptionally attributable to the state .....	460
1.	“ <i>De facto organs</i> ”? Instructions, effective control and “complete dependence” .....	461
2.	The adoption by a state of the conduct of a private group as its own .....	465
3.	Is “due diligence” a substitute for difficulties in attribution? ..	467
II.	<b>Recognition of “circumstances precluding wrongfulness”: a confirmation of realism?</b> .....	469
A.	Consent of the victim state .....	469
B.	Self-defence .....	470
C.	Countermeasures .....	471
D.	<i>Force majeure</i> .....	471
E.	Distress .....	472
F.	State of necessity .....	473
III.	<b>The random implementation of international responsibility</b> .....	475
A.	Who may or should implement responsibility? .....	477
1.	What are the obligations of states in the event of a serious violation of a peremptory norm? .....	478
2.	What are the rights of states in the event of violation of a norm by another state? .....	480
B.	The forms of reaction: retorsion and countermeasures ....	483
IV.	<b>The limited responsibility of international organizations</b> .....	485
A.	Difficulties in establishing and implementing the responsibility of international organizations .....	487
B.	State responsibility: a solution to problems in implementing the responsibility of international organizations? .....	490

## CHAPTER XII

<b>Peaceful Settlement of Disputes</b> .....	495
<b>I. An autonomous legal principle?</b> .....	497
A. Peaceful settlement of disputes and non-use of force .....	498
B. Peaceful settlement of disputes and measures of retorsion or reprisal .....	501
C. Peaceful settlement of disputes and judicial rules of procedure .....	502
<b>II. A sovereign body of law: a free choice between peaceful means of settlement?</b> .....	507
A. Diplomatic means of dispute settlement: legal mechanisms and political choices .....	507
1. Diplomatic means at the disposal of states .....	508
2. The primacy of political choices.....	511
B. Judicial means of dispute settlement: legal mechanisms and political choices .....	513
1. Arbitration: the primacy of law?.....	513
2. Judicial settlement: the primacy of institutions? .....	517
<b>III. Limitation by law? The International Court of Justice as a universal court</b> .....	518
A. Contentious jurisdiction and its limits.....	520
1. The stages of proceedings.....	521
2. The modes of acceptance of the Court's jurisdiction .....	522
a) General declarations of the Court's compulsory jurisdiction .....	522
b) Compromissory clauses .....	525
c) Special agreements.....	528
d) <i>Forum prorogatum</i> .....	529
B. Advisory jurisdiction and its limitations.....	530
<b>IV. The development of means of dispute settlement and areas of international law: towards a fragmentation of international law?</b> ...	533
A. Fragmentation as a strategy of actors .....	534
B. Towards the fragmentation of international law? .....	538

<b>List of Maps and Illustrations</b> .....	541
<b>Selective Bibliography</b> .....	543